Mr. SPEAKER.—There is answer to (*c*) namely, 'there have been representations in this behalf.'

Sri MULKA GOVINDA REDDY.—Throughout the State, ಯಾವ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಪ್ರವಾಸ ಹೊರಡರೂ ತಪ್ಪಿಪಿ ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಜನರು ಈ ರೀತಿ ದುಬಾರಿಯಾಯಿಕೆಂದು ಅವರಲ್ಲಿ ಮನವಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲವೆ ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The word 'some' is not mentioned in the answer. It is only said 'there have been representations'.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—May I know the rates prevailing in PEPSU and other provinces for lighting charges according to Sri Datar Singh ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Notice.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—I think the rates which are given are for lighting purpose and not for irrigation.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—The letter is here. In the case of Punjab, it is 5 annas per unit for electricity charge for irrigation and in the case of U. P. and PEPSU it is 4 annas per unit.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Has he given rates prevailing in other provinces like Madras and Bombay ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I have ascertained the rates prevailing in the two provinces only.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Did you take any trouble to ascertain the prevailing rate in other provinces of Madras and Bombay ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—That trouble also will be taken.

Transfer of Sri N. V. Babu Reddy, Inspector-General of Police, and Sri P. Medapa, Chief Justice.

Q.—31. Sri B. V. NARAYANA REDDY (Bagepalli-Gudibanda).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether Sri N. V. Babu Reddy, Inspector-General of Police, has been transferred ;

(*b*) if so, what are the reasons for the transfer ;

(*c*) whether Government recommended for his transfer ;

(*d*) if so, what are the reasons ?

A.—Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) No.

(*b*) Does not arise in view of answer to (*a*) above.

(*c*) No.

(*d*) Does not arise in view of answer to (*c*) above.

Sri B. V. NARAYANA REDDY.—I have no supplementaries.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is it a fact that a report appeared in some papers stating that the Government have approached the Centre for the transfer of Sri Babu Reddy and did the Government contradict this report ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The next question is similar to this. Please refer to its answer. Both may be taken together.

I suggest that Questions Nos. 31, 33, 32 and 34 may be taken up together.

Q.—33. Sri MULKA GOVINDA REDDY (Chitaldrug).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether it is not a fact that the Government of Mysore have written to the Union Government to transfer Sri N. V. Babu Reddy, Inspector-General of Police ;

(*b*) if so, what are the grounds urged for his transfer ?

A.—Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) No.

(*b*) Does not arise in view of answer to (*a*) above.

Q.—32. Sri B. V. NARAYANA REDDY (Bagepalli-Gudibanda).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether the Government of Mysore have recommended for the transfer of Sri P. Medapa, Chief Justice of Mysore ;

(*b*) if so, what are the reasons ;

(*c*) if he has been transferred, what are the reasons ?

A.—Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) No.

(*b*) and (*c*) Do not arise.

Q.—34. Sri MULKA GOVINDA REDDY (Chitaldrug).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether they have written to the Central Government to have Sri Medapa, Chief Justice of Mysore transferred to elsewhere;

(*b*) if so, what are the grounds urged for his transfer?

A.—Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) No.

(*b*) Does not arise.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Did the Government contradict any Press report to this effect? Did they issue any contradiction of any such Press report?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I did not understand the question.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Some papers reported that the Mysore Government have approached the Centre for the transfer of Sri Babu Reddy and Sri Medapa. Did the Government contract this report through a communique or through their Press Officer?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Sir, those were interested reports which did not take the interest of the State into consideration at all. It was not worth the while to contradict them.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is it not a fact that such failure to contradict such reports will be misunderstood by the people that the Government have failed in their duty to take the people into their confidence?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—People have rightly understood the motive with which these reports were published.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—If the Government have not approached the Centre, did any Minister or any Officer of the Government write to the Centre asking for the transfer of any of these Officers?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I have said 'no.' It applies to every question allied to this question.

Chief Minister's visit to South Canara District.

Q.—127. Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether it is not a fact that the Chief Minister toured the District of South Canara, Madras State, during the month of May 1953;

(*b*) how many non-official gentlemen including the members of this House accompanied the Chief Minister in the course of this tour;

(*c*) the expenditure incurred by the Government for this tour?

A.—Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) No record has been maintained.

(*c*) Rs. 418-5-0.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Did the Chief Minister visit South Canara in his official capacity or in his private capacity?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—It is in the official capacity.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—What was the nature of the Government work that induced him to visit South Canara District?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—An invitation came from the Chief Minister, Madras, to open the Exhibition and inaugurate the Educational Conference. The Teachers' Association of Madras also requested me in the matter. We were dealing with the education problem and I thought that would be helpful to us also.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Have you considered the acceptance of invitations from other States outside Mysore to be part of Government duty?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—It depends upon the invitations.